



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,107	10/14/2005	Kengo Nagata	6268-000010/US/NP	2388
27572 7590 02/15/2007 HARNES, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			EXAMINER TRINH, SONNY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/553,107

Applicant(s)

NAGATA ET AL.

Examiner

Sonny TRINH

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 2, 6 3/2, 4/2, 7/6, 8/6 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3/1, 4/1, 7/5, 8/5 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. **Claims 1, 5** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mousley et al. (hereinafter "Mousley"; U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2002/0159431) in view of Sonetaka (U.S. Patent number 6,591,107).

Regarding claim 1, Mousley discloses a wireless packet communication method for transmitting a plurality of wireless packets simultaneously by using multiple wireless channels (paragraphs [009] – [0015], claims 1-2, 9-10, 14). Mousley does not disclose the determination of an idle channel by carrier sense and setting a mandatory channel that is always used for transmission; and transmitting the wireless packets by using a wireless channel/wireless channels that includes/include the mandatory channel, only when the mandatory channel is idle.

In an analogous art, Sonetaka teaches a method of assigning service channel (abstract). Sonetaka further teaches a method for assigning channel by using mandatory channel that is always used for transmission when there is no channel to be assigned to the traffic having a predetermined service rank (abstract, claims 1, 3, 5-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the channel assignment, as taught by

Art Unit: 2618

Sonetaka, to the system of Mouldsley. The motivation for doing so to make it possible to assign radio-signal channel to traffic having a high service rank, even if radio-signal channels are all occupied by traffics each having a low service rank.

Claim 5 is the apparatus claim necessary for performing the method steps of claim 1 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. **Claims 3/1, 4/1, 7/5, 8/5** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding **claim 3/1**, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed limitations, specifically wherein the method characterized in that the plurality of wireless packets transmitted simultaneously are set to have a same or equivalent packet time length that corresponds to a packet size or a transmission time.

Regarding **claim 4/1**, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed limitations, specifically wherein the method characterized by further comprising simultaneously transmitting Wireless packets selectively using the multiple wireless channels or the MIMO in accordance with a number of pieces of data or a number of MIMOs that depends on a channel condition.

Claims 7/5 and 8/5 are the apparatus claims as opposed to the method claim of claims 3/1 and 4/1 and are therefore allowed.

3. **Claims 2, 3/2, 4/2, 6, 7/6, 8/6** are allowed.

Regarding **claim 2**, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed limitations of a method for transmitting a plurality of wireless packets simultaneously by using multiple wireless channels determined to be idle by carrier sense, a single wireless channel determined to be idle and MIMO, or the multiple wireless channels and the MIMO, the method characterized by comprising: distinguishing an STA A from an STA B, the STA A for which a mandatory channel is set, the STA B for which no mandatory channel is set, the mandatory channel being always used for transmission; and when wireless packets are addressed to said STA A, transmitting the wireless packets to said STA A by using a wireless channel/wireless channels that includes/include the mandatory channel, only when the mandatory channel is idle; and when wireless packets are addressed to said STA B, transmitting the wireless packets to said STA B by using idle wireless channel(s).

Claims 3/2, and 4/2 are allowed by virtue of their dependency on claim 2.

Claims 6, 7/6 and 8/6 are the corresponding apparatus claims for performing the method steps of claims 2, 3/2, 4/2 (respectively) and are allowed for the same reasons.

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonny TRINH whose telephone number is 571-272-7927. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward URBAN can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

2/8/07


SONNY TRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER